
CBSS project “Prepared Together: Stronger Together”

May, 2024

Survey developed by:

Dr. Ieva Birka, University of Latvia

Dr. Didzis Kļaviņš, University of Latvia

Dr. Mikael Wigell, Finnish Institute of International Affairs

M.A. Alexandra M. Friede, Helmut-Schmidt-Universität


Supported by:



PROJECT
SUPPORT
FACILITY

About Survey

-
- 01 Method:** Online, CAWI – Computer Assisted Web Interviews
-
- 02 Target group:** 18-74 y.o. inhabitants of Riga (Latvia), Helsinki (Finland) and Berlin, Hamburg and Munich (Germany)
-
- 03 Sample:**
- 1003 respondents of Riga
 - 1001 respondents of Berlin, Hamburg, Munich
 - 1009 respondents of Helsinki
-
- 04 Period:** April - May, 2024



Summary

Summary (I)

- **Overall 18-74 y.o. inhabitants of Riga (Latvia), Helsinki (Finland) and Berlin, Hamburg and Munich (Germany) feel quite secure** (*summed values - strongly agree + rather agree*) **with their immediate neighbourhood**: 85% of inhabitants of Riga, 84% of inhabitants of Germany's cities (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich) and 91% of inhabitants of Helsinki, as well the **majority of all respondents across the different countries consider their country as a secure place to live**: 75% of inhabitants of Riga, 75% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 92% of inhabitants of Helsinki.
- **Meanwhile on a city level - Riga is considered as a secure city to live in by 82%, Berlin by 61%, Hamburg by 79%, Munich by 78% and Helsinki by 84%.**
- **The ratios of European Union as a secure place to live in are comparatively lower**: with this statement agreed 72% of inhabitants of Riga, 72% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 75% of inhabitants of Helsinki.
- It is worthwhile to mention that inhabitants of Helsinki statistically significantly more frequently agreed that their immediate neighbourhood and country is secure place to live in, compared to inhabitants of Riga and of inhabitants of Germany's cities, as well as that their city is a secure place, compared to answers given by inhabitants of Berlin, Hamburg and Munich.

Summary (II)

- On a country level there are some statistical differences among demographical subgroups:
 - In Riga - 18-29 y.o. respondents and Latvians more frequently than the whole sample agreed that EU is a secure place to live, as well as Latvians more frequently than the whole sample said they agreed that Latvia is a secure place to live. Meanwhile Russians and other nationalities less frequently than the whole sample agreed that EU and Latvia are a secure place to live.
 - In Germany's cities - 30-39 y.o. respondents agreed more frequently with all statements about security; while inhabitants of Munich agreed more frequently about immediate neighbourhood and city. Seniors less frequently agreed that Germany and EU are secure places to live in, while inhabitants of Berlin less frequently agreed that their immediate neighbourhood and city are secure.
 - In Helsinki - solely 50-59 y.o. respondents less frequently agreed their immediate neighbourhood is a secure place to live in.
- Nevertheless, it is important to mention that the proportion of "strongly agree" answers for all statements regarding secure place to live in is smaller than proportion of "rather agree", especially among inhabitants of Riga.
- **Asked about main current concerns, inhabitants of Riga mentioned variety of themes starting with war to LGBT.** Only 4% said they don't have any concerns currently. TOP 5 most popular concerns among inhabitants of Riga are war/peace (not specified) (15%), finance/ money/ income (14%), economic situation in the country/ inflation/ price increase (14%), health (9%), threats/ possibility of war (9%) and war in Ukraine (8%).

Summary (III)

- **Evaluation of threats that are important for people in European cities highlights essential differences.** Considering geopolitical and economic situation in Europe TOP 5 major threats across survey's countries differ.
 - **TOP 5 major threats among inhabitants of Riga are disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water) (62%),** LATVIA's economic situation (61%), use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances (58%), military attack against LATVIA (e.g. air raids) (56%) and terrorism (54%).
 - **TOP 5 major threats among inhabitants of Germany's cities are organised crime (56%), spread of false or misleading information (52%),** impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms) (52%), terrorism (51%) and threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence) (45%).
 - **TOP 5 major threats among inhabitants of Helsinki are impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms) (49%),** spread of false or misleading information (46%), FINLAND's economic situation (39%), disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks) (36%) and organised crime (31%).
- Data shows that **inhabitants of Riga overall are more concerned than inhabitants of Helsinki and inhabitants of Berlin, Hamburg and Munich.** Overall females and seniors more frequently in all cities mentioned several threats as major threats.

Summary (IV)

- **On average approximately 7 out of 10 respondents among all cities admitted it is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/ emergency.** However only **slightly more than a half have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/ emergency.** Statically significantly more educated are inhabitants of Riga - 64% admitted they have knowledge compared to inhabitants of Helsinki and Germany's cities. Only 46% of inhabitants of Helsinki and 49% of inhabitants of Berlin, Hamburg and Munich claimed they have necessary knowledge.
- Disturbing is that **on average less than 4 out of 10 actually know where to go in a disaster/ emergency when they need to leave home urgently.** In this case inhabitants of Riga are statically significantly less informed (only 30%) compared to inhabitants of Helsinki (44%) and inhabitants of Berlin, Hamburg and Munich (41%).
- At the same time **inhabitants of Germany's cities more frequently admitted that they expect that a major disaster/ emergency will happen in the next 10 years** (59%; especially inhabitants of Munich - 66%) compared to Riga (31%) and Helsinki (39%).
- **Answers on knowledge on action when hearing public sirens show disturbing tendencies - only half of inhabitants of Riga know what to do if they hear public sirens, 54% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 68% of inhabitants of Helsinki.** Less aware in Riga are females, seniors (60-74 y.o.) and Russians, in Germany's cities - females and 50-59 y.o., while in Helsinki - females and youngsters (18-29. y.o.). Thus, it is crucial to inform society about the necessary actions, especially among subgroups where ratios are lower than among all respondents.

Summary (V)

- Data also shows that nowadays **quite a small proportion has a battery-powered AM FM radio at home**: 24% in Riga, 43% in Germany's cities and 33% in Helsinki. Thus in case of a disaster/ emergency, radio as a communication tool couldn't reach the whole society.
- Asked about **essential product's supplies** (e.g. food, water, medicine), **the majority of all respondents across different countries said they have at least some supplies**. 5% of inhabitants of Riga, 3% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 5% of inhabitants of Helsinki said they have none. **Overall 46% in Riga, 59% in Germany's cities and 28% in Helsinki would have essential product's supplies for a week or longer**. 7% in Riga, 5% in Germany's cities and 12% in Helsinki would have supplies only for 24 hours.
- Youngsters (18-29 y.o.) more frequently from Germany's cities and Helsinki said they would have supplies for a very short period of time.
- Asked about **having cash reserves, the majority said they have some**. 16% of inhabitants of Riga, 12% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 24% of inhabitants Helsinki said they don't have any cash reserves at all. **Overall 51% in Riga, 53% in Germany's cities and 38% in Helsinki would have cash reserves for a week or longer**. 9% in Riga, 9% in Germany's cities and 13% in Helsinki would have cash only for 24 hours.
- **Only 7% in Riga and 5% in Helsinki have an agreed meeting place with family in case communications are disrupted**. The ratio in Germany's cities is statistically significantly higher - 23%, especially among inhabitants of Berlin.

Summary (VI)

- As it was seen from the survey data, inhabitants of survey's cities aren't prepared for disasters/ emergency situations and don't have sufficient knowledge about necessary actions. Question on needs from the authorities/government to become better prepared for potential disaster or emergency proves that, **as the majority admitted they need more detailed information about what to do in the event of a disaster or emergency (e.g. leaflets, media)** - 69% of inhabitants of Riga, 73% of inhabitants of Germany's cities and 80% of inhabitants Helsinki.
- Inhabitants of Riga also stressed awareness-raising activities in their neighbourhood so they know where to turn in case of a disaster/ emergency (63%).
- **Thus the survey results highlights the necessity to keep informing society across different cities about scenarios of potential disasters/ emergency situations, ways to prepare themselves and their family, starting with having additional supplies/ reserves to preferred actions in case of potential disasters/ emergency.**

Feeling secure and evaluation of threats

01

Feeling secure: comparison

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree




		Average	Riga	Germany's cities	Helsinki
Your immediate neighbourhood is a secure place to live in		87%	85%	84%	91%
Your COUNTRY is a secure place to live in		81%	75%	75%	92%
Your CITY is a secure place to live in		79%	82%	70%	84%
The EU is a secure place to live in		73%	72%	72%	75%



Feeling secure: Riga

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003





PROFILE: Feeling secure

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree


Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Your immediate neighbourhood is a secure place to live in	85%	87%	84%	82%	84%	85%	87%	86%	87%	84%	83%
Your RIGA is a secure place to live in	82%	84%	80%	77%	80%	81%	84%	85%	83%	82%	78%
Your LATVIA is a secure place to live in	75%	77%	74%	75%	77%	72%	78%	76%	83%	70%	67%
The EU is a secure place to live in	72%	72%	73%	84%	76%	72%	66%	67%	86%	60%	64%



Feeling secure: Germany's cities

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001





PROFILE: Feeling secure

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree


Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Your immediate neighbourhood is a secure place to live in	84%	83%	84%	78%	91%	80%	85%	84%	79%	85%	94%
Your GERMANY is a secure place to live in	75%	76%	75%	76%	89%	76%	72%	65%	75%	80%	71%
The EU is a secure place to live in	72%	71%	72%	73%	87%	75%	66%	58%	72%	75%	67%
Your [CITY] is a secure place to live in	70%	73%	67%	66%	83%	65%	68%	67%	61%	79%	78%



Feeling secure: Helsinki

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009



PROFILE: Feeling secure

Q1. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree


Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Your FINLAND is a secure place to live in	92%	92%	91%	93%	95%	90%	89%	92%
Your immediate neighbourhood is a secure place to live in	91%	91%	91%	92%	93%	90%	85%	94%
Your HELSINKI is a secure place to live in	84%	84%	85%	87%	85%	80%	80%	88%
The EU is a secure place to live in	75%	76%	75%	81%	77%	73%	74%	71%



Current concerns: Riga

Q2. What are you currently most concerned about?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003





PROFILE: Current concerns

■ ■ - value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance


Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
War/ peace	15%	14%	16%	12%	14%	17%	15%	16%	17%	11%	16%
Finance/ money/ income	14%	13%	16%	26%	17%	10%	10%	11%	12%	16%	15%
Economic situation in the country/ inflation/ price increase	14%	12%	15%	16%	18%	15%	10%	9%	12%	15%	12%
Health	9%	10%	9%	4%	5%	7%	7%	20%	6%	12%	12%
Threat/ possibility of war	9%	7%	11%	12%	7%	8%	11%	9%	10%	8%	11%
War in Ukraine	8%	9%	7%	4%	6%	6%	11%	11%	13%	2%	5%
Domestic policy/discrimination at national level/ language policy	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	4%	10%	7%
Russian aggression/ unpredictability	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	3%	6%	6%	11%	1%	5%
Work/studies/ unemployment	5%	4%	6%	12%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%
Geopolitical situation	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	7%	4%	4%	4%	5%
Security	4%	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	4%	3%	6%	3%	4%
Children/ grandchildren/ children's futures	4%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%
Family	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	5%	1%	1%	3%	4%
The criminal situation in the country (drugs, street safety, etc.)	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Social policy (current or future pension amount/ health/ education policy)	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%
Migration/ population decline	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%
The health of loved ones	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%
The future in general	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%
Home purchase/ improvement	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Climate change	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Division of Latvia's society	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%
Mental health/ soul	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Myself in general	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%
Corruption/ bureaucracy	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
For personal relationships	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Lack of rest/ time /sleep	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
People's darkness	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Roads/ environmental improvement	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
For small household things (spring planting, workouts, etc.)	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
LGBT/ distracting from traditional values/ tolerance	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Don't have any concerns	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	6%	3%	2%
No answer	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	6%	1%	4%	6%	2%

Evaluation of threats – Major threats: comparison

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed: Only major threats






Evaluation of threats: Riga

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003





PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

(!) Displayed only: Major threats

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	62%	60%	64%	55%	58%	60%	66%	69%	60%	65%	59%
LATVIA's economic situation	61%	58%	63%	62%	59%	61%	63%	60%	49%	73%	64%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	58%	53%	63%	56%	53%	55%	55%	69%	61%	57%	55%
Military attack against LATVIA (e.g. air raids)	56%	51%	61%	72%	50%	55%	50%	57%	71%	43%	47%
Terrorism	54%	49%	58%	54%	48%	51%	53%	62%	53%	55%	53%
Organised crime	53%	47%	58%	50%	46%	50%	56%	61%	51%	56%	53%
Spread of false or misleading information	50%	45%	54%	48%	51%	50%	48%	52%	51%	49%	50%
Spread of infectious diseases	47%	42%	51%	45%	44%	38%	47%	56%	42%	52%	50%
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	42%	36%	46%	40%	45%	37%	40%	45%	51%	35%	33%
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	39%	31%	46%	48%	42%	32%	35%	41%	40%	39%	37%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	37%	33%	40%	33%	36%	29%	36%	46%	38%	36%	37%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

(!) Displayed summed values: Major threats + minor threats

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Organised crime	92%	90%	94%	92%	89%	91%	92%	95%	92%	93%	91%
LATVIA's economic situation	92%	90%	93%	92%	89%	91%	95%	92%	87%	98%	90%
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	89%	87%	91%	88%	87%	86%	92%	91%	92%	86%	88%
Spread of false or misleading information	89%	88%	89%	88%	92%	86%	88%	89%	90%	87%	89%
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	88%	88%	89%	86%	86%	83%	91%	95%	91%	86%	86%
Spread of infectious diseases	88%	86%	90%	83%	87%	87%	89%	91%	87%	89%	88%
Terrorism	87%	84%	89%	83%	83%	83%	90%	93%	87%	86%	87%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	86%	82%	90%	81%	86%	82%	87%	93%	88%	84%	86%
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	84%	81%	86%	93%	84%	77%	80%	85%	87%	80%	83%
Military attack against LATVIA (e.g. air raids)	81%	76%	85%	93%	83%	76%	74%	81%	92%	68%	79%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	80%	80%	81%	82%	79%	78%	79%	84%	85%	76%	80%


- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



Evaluation of threats: Germany's cities

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001





PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

(!) Displayed only: Major threats

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Organised crime	56%	54%	58%	37%	49%	59%	63%	70%	56%	56%	55%
Spread of false or misleading information	52%	48%	57%	43%	49%	52%	63%	54%	49%	55%	59%
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	52%	45%	60%	48%	59%	51%	56%	47%	51%	55%	51%
Terrorism	51%	46%	57%	41%	45%	47%	60%	62%	52%	48%	55%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	45%	43%	46%	34%	43%	50%	45%	50%	42%	45%	51%
GERMANY's economic situation	44%	43%	44%	39%	41%	47%	42%	49%	43%	39%	52%
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	41%	38%	43%	27%	41%	41%	44%	50%	39%	42%	44%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	39%	35%	43%	33%	41%	35%	39%	46%	38%	36%	46%
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	35%	37%	34%	36%	35%	31%	35%	40%	36%	31%	39%
Military attack against GERMANY (e.g. air raids)	33%	30%	36%	31%	29%	35%	32%	38%	33%	33%	32%
Spread of infectious diseases	28%	29%	28%	32%	32%	23%	28%	25%	28%	27%	29%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

(!) Displayed summed values: Major threats + minor threats


Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Organised crime	92%	87%	96%	82%	91%	92%	95%	99%	89%	95%	94%
Terrorism	91%	88%	95%	86%	88%	90%	93%	98%	89%	95%	93%
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	89%	86%	91%	84%	85%	89%	93%	91%	87%	91%	89%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	88%	86%	90%	77%	92%	89%	90%	91%	85%	89%	92%
Spread of false or misleading information	87%	85%	89%	81%	88%	88%	89%	88%	86%	89%	87%
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	86%	82%	90%	84%	93%	85%	84%	84%	85%	88%	85%
GERMANY's economic situation	86%	83%	89%	82%	87%	86%	87%	87%	83%	89%	88%
Spread of infectious diseases	82%	80%	85%	82%	83%	80%	84%	83%	83%	81%	82%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	80%	76%	84%	77%	84%	79%	79%	81%	77%	82%	85%
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	79%	79%	80%	73%	82%	80%	78%	83%	79%	78%	81%
Military attack against GERMANY (e.g. air raids)	75%	70%	80%	67%	75%	81%	77%	75%	73%	78%	77%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

Evaluation of threats: Helsinki

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009



PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed only: Major threats

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	49%	42%	55%	53%	52%	49%	48%	43%
Spread of false or misleading information	46%	47%	46%	37%	47%	54%	50%	47%
FINLAND's economic situation	39%	39%	39%	29%	41%	43%	45%	38%
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	36%	32%	40%	30%	33%	40%	40%	39%
Organised crime	31%	29%	34%	28%	26%	25%	38%	39%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	24%	24%	24%	15%	25%	27%	27%	25%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	23%	18%	28%	26%	17%	20%	20%	31%
Military attack against FINLAND (e.g. air raids)	21%	17%	25%	27%	20%	18%	21%	20%
Terrorism	19%	17%	22%	25%	18%	11%	21%	21%
Spread of infectious diseases	19%	17%	22%	25%	14%	17%	19%	20%
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	16%	17%	15%	16%	8%	11%	23%	23%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

PROFILE: Evaluation of threats

Q3. How important do you personally think each of these threats is?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed summed values: Major threats + minor threats

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Disrupted digital services (e.g. due to cyber-attacks)	90%	88%	92%	83%	90%	90%	93%	94%
Spread of false or misleading information	88%	86%	89%	85%	88%	90%	88%	89%
Organised crime	86%	85%	87%	84%	82%	83%	92%	90%
FINLAND's economic situation	86%	85%	86%	80%	88%	91%	88%	83%
Impact of climate change (e.g. more floods, storms)	84%	78%	90%	86%	85%	81%	82%	86%
Terrorism	82%	78%	87%	79%	81%	81%	89%	82%
Spread of infectious diseases	78%	75%	81%	75%	76%	77%	83%	81%
Threatening use of new technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence)	77%	73%	80%	77%	77%	80%	81%	70%
Military attack against FINLAND (e.g. air raids)	73%	66%	80%	72%	80%	75%	70%	69%
Use of chemical, biological or nuclear substances	73%	66%	79%	73%	72%	72%	70%	76%
Disrupted supplies (e.g. electricity, water)	72%	72%	72%	64%	71%	72%	78%	76%


- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

Disaster/emergency: comparison

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree





Disaster/emergency: Riga

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

■ Strongly agree
 ■ Rather agree
 ■ Rather disagree
 ■ Strongly disagree
 ■ Prefer not to say

Strongly agree + rather agree

It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/ emergency



I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/ emergency



I expect that a major disaster/ emergency will happen in the next 10 years



I know where to go in a disaster/ emergency when I need to leave home urgently





PROFILE: Disaster/emergency

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/ emergency	72%	73%	72%	79%	72%	69%	68%	74%	79%	67%	66%
I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/ emergency	64%	62%	65%	63%	70%	62%	62%	62%	54%	74%	69%
I expect that a major disaster/ emergency will happen in the next 10 years	31%	32%	30%	42%	34%	30%	21%	28%	31%	30%	31%
I know where to go in a disaster/ emergency when I need to leave home urgently	30%	36%	26%	36%	32%	29%	28%	27%	33%	27%	29%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



Disaster/emergency: Germany's cities

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001


■ Strongly agree
 ■ Rather agree
 ■ Rather disagree
 ■ Strongly disagree
 ■ Prefer not to say

Strongly agree + rather agree

It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/ emergency




I expect that a major disaster/ emergency will happen in the next 10 years



I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/ emergency



I know where to go in a disaster/ emergency when I need to leave home urgently





PROFILE: Disaster/emergency

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/ emergency	69%	68%	71%	66%	77%	62%	69%	73%	69%	65%	76%
I expect that a major disaster/ emergency will happen in the next 10 years	59%	59%	59%	59%	64%	60%	53%	60%	58%	55%	66%
I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/ emergency	49%	56%	42%	48%	58%	48%	45%	47%	48%	49%	54%
I know where to go in a disaster/ emergency when I need to leave home urgently	41%	45%	36%	44%	54%	41%	32%	34%	42%	38%	41%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

Disaster/emergency: Helsinki

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009


■ Strongly agree
 ■ Rather agree
 ■ Rather disagree
 ■ Strongly disagree
 ■ Prefer not to say

Strongly agree + rather agree


It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/emergency




I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/emergency



I know where to go in a disaster/emergency when I need to leave home urgently



I expect that a major disaster/emergency will happen in the next 10 years



PROFILE: Disaster/emergency

Q4. Please select one response to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009


(!) Displayed summed values: those who answered Strongly agree + Rather agree

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
It is important to have regular discussions with family, friends, neighbours or colleagues about what to do in a disaster/emergency	74%	71%	76%	76%	71%	73%	76%	72%
I have the necessary knowledge and skills to respond effectively to a disaster/emergency	46%	55%	37%	42%	44%	44%	47%	52%
I know where to go in a disaster/emergency when I need to leave home urgently	44%	47%	42%	32%	44%	45%	51%	51%
I expect that a major disaster/emergency will happen in the next 10 years	39%	41%	37%	39%	43%	38%	37%	37%

Knowledge on action if hearing public sirens

Q5.1. Do you know what to do if you hear public sirens?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009





PROFILE: Knowledge on action if hearing public sirens

Q5.1. Do you know what to do if you hear public sirens?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Yes	50%	56%	45%	50%	55%	55%	49%	44%	59%	42%	43%
No	42%	37%	46%	42%	41%	39%	46%	42%	34%	50%	45%
Prefer not to say	8%	7%	9%	9%	4%	6%	5%	15%	6%	8%	12%



PROFILE: Knowledge on action if hearing public sirens

Q5.1. Do you know what to do if you hear public sirens?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Yes	54%	64%	43%	62%	61%	52%	43%	52%	53%	51%	60%
No	44%	33%	55%	37%	38%	46%	54%	44%	45%	47%	37%
Prefer not to say	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%

PROFILE: Knowledge on action if hearing public sirens

Q5.1. Do you know what to do if you hear public sirens?


Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Yes	58%	65%	51%	45%	53%	56%	70%	67%
No	28%	24%	32%	39%	36%	28%	20%	18%
Prefer not to say	14%	11%	16%	16%	11%	16%	9%	16%

Having a battery-powered AM FM radio at home

Q5.2. Do you have a battery-powered AM FM radio at home?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009





PROFILE: Having a battery-powered AM FM radio at home

Q5.2. Do you have a battery-powered AM FM radio at home?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Yes	24%	31%	18%	11%	21%	21%	26%	35%	27%	20%	23%
No	75%	67%	81%	87%	78%	79%	73%	63%	72%	78%	75%
Prefer not to say	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Having a battery-powered AM FM radio at home

Q5.2. Do you have a battery-powered AM FM radio at home?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Yes	43%	56%	31%	53%	49%	30%	35%	50%	46%	38%	45%
No	56%	44%	68%	46%	51%	69%	65%	50%	54%	62%	54%
Prefer not to say	0.3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%

PROFILE: Having a battery-powered AM FM radio at home

Q5.2. Do you have a battery-powered AM FM radio at home?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Yes	33%	40%	27%	14%	21%	33%	43%	55%
No	65%	58%	72%	85%	77%	63%	56%	44%
Prefer not to say	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%

Supplies and cash reserves

02

Having essential products' supplies

Q5.3. How long would the supplies (e.g. food, water, medicine) in your home last?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009




Riga



Germany's cities



Helsinki





PROFILE: Having essential products' supplies

Q5.3. How long would the supplies (e.g. food, water, medicine) in your home last?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
24 hrs	7%	7%	7%	4%	9%	8%	8%	6%	8%	8%	4%
48 hrs	14%	15%	13%	18%	17%	9%	9%	16%	13%	15%	13%
72 hrs	24%	24%	25%	23%	27%	23%	23%	26%	26%	23%	23%
For about a week	30%	31%	30%	36%	25%	33%	32%	28%	31%	30%	28%
More than a week	16%	14%	18%	10%	13%	19%	20%	18%	14%	17%	21%
Prefer not to say	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%
I don't have supplies	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	7%



PROFILE: Having essential products' supplies

Q5.3. How long would the supplies (e.g. food, water, medicine) in your home last?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
24 hrs	5%	5%	5%	10%	5%	4%	3%	3%	7%	4%	2%
48 hrs	13%	18%	8%	22%	14%	15%	11%	5%	16%	12%	8%
72 hrs	18%	19%	18%	21%	18%	21%	20%	11%	18%	21%	13%
For about a week	38%	35%	40%	33%	44%	35%	32%	45%	36%	37%	44%
More than a week	22%	19%	25%	10%	16%	19%	30%	32%	19%	22%	28%
Prefer not to say	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
I don't have supplies	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

PROFILE: Having essential products' supplies

Q5.3. How long would the supplies (e.g. food, water, medicine) in your home last?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
24 hrs	12%	11%	14%	20%	11%	14%	9%	7%
48 hrs	23%	25%	21%	17%	26%	24%	25%	22%
72 hrs	24%	23%	25%	22%	22%	21%	26%	29%
For about a week	19%	20%	17%	19%	16%	16%	23%	20%
More than a week	10%	10%	10%	7%	11%	8%	10%	13%
Prefer not to say	8%	6%	9%	9%	7%	9%	5%	8%
I don't have supplies	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	7%	3%	1%

Having cash reserves

Q5.4. How long would you have enough cash reserves to make essential purchases?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009




Riga



Germany's cities



Helsinki





PROFILE: Having cash reserves

Q5.4. How long would you have enough cash reserves to make essential purchases?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
24 hrs	9%	10%	8%	11%	10%	10%	5%	9%	9%	9%	8%
48 hrs	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%
72 hrs	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	8%	8%	8%	7%
For about a week	20%	20%	20%	17%	16%	22%	24%	23%	19%	24%	18%
More than a week	31%	33%	29%	27%	30%	29%	34%	33%	30%	29%	37%
Prefer not to say	10%	8%	12%	7%	8%	13%	9%	11%	4%	15%	14%
I don't have cash reserves	16%	16%	16%	24%	19%	16%	14%	11%	22%	12%	11%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Having cash reserves

Q5.4. How long would you have enough cash reserves to make essential purchases?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
24 hrs	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	10%	7%	10%	8%	7%
48 hrs	12%	15%	9%	20%	13%	11%	8%	8%	14%	9%	10%
72 hrs	10%	12%	7%	14%	13%	10%	7%	6%	9%	11%	11%
For about a week	22%	21%	24%	18%	24%	23%	23%	24%	21%	26%	21%
More than a week	31%	31%	30%	24%	27%	26%	34%	41%	29%	31%	34%
Prefer not to say	5%	3%	6%	4%	3%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%
I don't have cash reserves	12%	8%	16%	12%	13%	14%	13%	9%	13%	11%	11%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

PROFILE: Having cash reserves

Q5.4. How long would you have enough cash reserves to make essential purchases?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
24 hrs	13%	13%	14%	12%	13%	11%	18%	12%
48 hrs	10%	12%	8%	6%	12%	9%	11%	11%
72 hrs	9%	9%	9%	7%	7%	8%	11%	11%
For about a week	17%	18%	16%	17%	19%	16%	14%	21%
More than a week	21%	21%	21%	27%	17%	19%	20%	21%
Prefer not to say	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	8%	7%	5%
I don't have cash reserves	24%	21%	27%	26%	27%	30%	19%	19%

Agreed meeting place with family


Q5.5. Do you have an agreed meeting place with family in case communications are disrupted?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009


■ Yes ■ No ■ Prefer not to say




Riga



Germany's cities



Helsinki







PROFILE: Agreed meeting place with family

Q5.5. Do you have an agreed meeting place with family in case communications are disrupted?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
Yes	7%	10%	5%	7%	7%	7%	12%	5%	8%	7%	7%
No	89%	84%	92%	90%	91%	91%	81%	91%	89%	90%	87%
Prefer not to say	4%	6%	3%	4%	2%	2%	7%	4%	3%	3%	7%

  - value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Agreed meeting place with family

Q5.5. Do you have an agreed meeting place with family in case communications are disrupted?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
Yes	23%	33%	13%	46%	36%	15%	9%	11%	27%	15%	23%
No	74%	65%	84%	53%	62%	83%	87%	86%	70%	83%	73%
Prefer not to say	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%

PROFILE: Having essential products' supplies

Q5.5. Do you have an agreed meeting place with family in case communications are disrupted?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
Yes	5%	7%	3%	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%
No	93%	91%	95%	94%	93%	93%	92%	92%
Prefer not to say	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	2%


Needs from the
authorities/government

03

Needs from the authorities/government

Q6. What do you need from the authorities/government to become better prepared for potential disaster or emergency?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003; Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001; Finland (Helsinki), n=1009





PROFILE: Needs from the authorities/government

Q6. What do you need from the authorities/government to become better prepared for potential disaster or emergency?

Base: all respondents: Latvia (Riga), n=1003

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					Nationality		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Latvian	Russian	Other
Number of respondents -->	1003	449	554	163	214	190	179	257	453	381	169
More detailed information about what I should do in the event of a disaster or emergency (e.g. leaflets, media)	69%	67%	71%	82%	71%	62%	65%	68%	74%	65%	66%
Awareness-raising activities in my neighbourhood so I know where to turn in case of a disaster/ emergency	63%	58%	66%	64%	59%	59%	64%	68%	66%	58%	67%
Increased investment in infrastructure (e.g. sirens, shelters)	54%	49%	57%	67%	60%	54%	46%	45%	61%	45%	54%
Financial support (e.g. to stock up my supplies)	49%	45%	53%	60%	52%	49%	50%	40%	40%	59%	53%
Additional needs (not listed above)	5%	6%	3%	1%	3%	6%	4%	8%	4%	5%	7%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance



PROFILE: Needs from the authorities/government

Q6. What do you need from the authorities/government to become better prepared for potential disaster or emergency?

Base: all respondents: Germany (Berlin, Hamburg and Munich), n=1001

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age					City		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74	Berlin	Hamburg	Munich
Number of respondents -->	1001	499	502	198	191	189	211	212	515	273	213
More detailed information about what I should do in the event of a disaster or emergency (e.g. leaflets, media)	73%	71%	75%	73%	68%	70%	82%	71%	72%	77%	70%
Increased investment in infrastructure (e.g. sirens, shelters)	47%	41%	54%	39%	46%	51%	48%	51%	45%	47%	54%
Financial support (e.g. to stock up my supplies)	45%	48%	42%	61%	49%	47%	39%	30%	49%	38%	44%
Awareness-raising activities in my neighbourhood so I know where to turn in case of a disaster/ emergency	37%	37%	38%	39%	40%	35%	39%	33%	39%	35%	36%
Additional needs (not listed above)	5%	6%	3%	1%	2%	6%	7%	8%	4%	6%	5%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

PROFILE: Needs from the authorities/government

Q6. What do you need from the authorities/government to become better prepared for potential disaster or emergency?

Base: all respondents: Finland (Helsinki), n=1009

Column %, vertical data reading	All	Gender		Age				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-74
Number of respondents -->	1009	493	516	216	206	183	183	221
More detailed information about what I should do in the event of a disaster or emergency (e.g. leaflets, media)	80%	76%	85%	85%	84%	76%	80%	76%
Increased investment in infrastructure (e.g. sirens, shelters)	38%	38%	38%	34%	44%	36%	35%	42%
Financial support (e.g. to stock up my supplies)	37%	35%	38%	49%	44%	42%	31%	19%
Awareness-raising activities in my neighbourhood so I know where to turn in case of a disaster/emergency	29%	26%	32%	26%	35%	28%	30%	26%
Additional needs (not listed above):	4%	5%	4%	1%	3%	7%	3%	7%

- value is higher / lower than sample average, with a statistical significance

Know your world

We deliver data of the highest quality.

Providing the very best conditions for analysis and crucial decision making.

Project Manager:

Terēza Džeina Visocka | Tereza.Visocka@norstat.lv

Independent Research Partner:

Laura Čekavaja

Norstat Latvija AS
Zigfrīda Annas Meierovica bulvāris
16-16, LV-1050, Rīga
<https://norstatgroup.com>